

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/707,971	DREIBELBIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cynthia Britt	2117	

All Participants:

(1) Cynthia Britt.

(2) Pam Riley.

Date of Interview: 4 February 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 8am

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Based on MPEP 2111.04 and 2106 applicant was requested to permit examiner's amendment to eliminate 'wherein' and 'adapted to' phrases to avoid any nonlimiting claim language. See Examiner's amendments.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

CYNTHIA BRITT

PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)